21 Farch 1961

MERCHANDER FOR 1 The Reserve

SUPLECT.

: Status - TWA 523 Paul Development

ATTACHER

t (1) Summary - Refinery Comment On Production of PSA-523.

25X1	concerning industry espability to produce fuel to the Prett & Shitney PMA-F23 specification. In order to investigate the justification of this unrest, discussions were held with the	25X1
	Supported of these discussions with certain interim recommendations	
	are presented torowith. Discussions with	25X1
25X1	for the mer Arture.	
25X1	2. On 23 Pebruary 1961, and the writer and with representatives of the in New York.	25X1
	presented a very passizatic outlook for quantity production or subject fuel. This outlook was contrary to that generally known to be held by Fratt & Shitney and said in the writer's	25X1
25X1	opinion controlletory to the development progress schieved so far by Parther, it seemed inconsistent with recent regeneration	
25X1	ofinterest in subject fueloccurrent included exphatic reference to oruse, namufacturing process, and production capacity limitations unless the RA-52) specification were emprecised. The	25X1
		25X1
25X1 25X1	3. On 7 Harch 1961. and the writer not with	
25X1	in Florida presented an optimistic outlook for quantity production of subject fuel without compromise to the FWA-923 specifies This statement included positive comment that crude and production expectly were not limited. Further statement indicated that previous	rtion.

WELLING.

CEC-11.62 Page 2

25X1 25X1	plant size, and production capacity were not correct. Attachment 1 securioses the details of correct occurrent cited in para-
	do The writer's interim surmary evaluation of comment to date is as follows:
25X1	

- 5. Although it is recognised that a sole source for fuel procurement is unless rable, it is the writer's epinion that a procurement split at this time would be under. Subject fuel, as the engine, airframe, and associated systems, is still in the development phase. Practice in manufacturing process, refinery shakedown, and handling will be required in order to attain consistent quality volume production. A split now at the present production level (Fes 1961 requirement is 5 million galkows) would divide this necessary production experience thus leaving any one refinery with a deficiency.
- 5. In view of the fact that the GKCART program involves the mating of an unflown airframe with an unflown engine, it is recommuted that as much flight experience and hamiling experience as feasible be accumulated using subject fuel in other aircraft (possibly the U-2) prior to A-12 initial flight. This experience would serve to surface the things we have not thought of in hamiling and operation and thereby establish a fuel confidence level prior to the time maded for GKCART. Per has indicated the competibility of subject fuel with the J-57 and J-75 engines with minor controls and scale adjustments.

Page 3

				ce exists for	
1					
	· ·		25X1		

Attendments

At elical

Distributions

1-0/05/05/05

3-4-6-070/05

5-80/0-5

7-080/01

DR0/03/800 mey